

Statistical bulletin

Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey, UK: 28 January 2022

Estimates for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland. This survey is being delivered in partnership with University of Oxford, University of Manchester, UK Health Security Agency and Wellcome Trust. This study is jointly led by the ONS and the Department for Health and Social Care (DHSC) working with the University of Oxford and Lighthouse laboratory to collect and test samples.

Contact:
Rhiannon Yapp, Eleanor
Fordham and Gillian Flower
infection.survey.analysis@ons.
gov.uk
+44 1633 560499

Release date:
28 January 2022

Next release:
2 February 2022

Table of contents

1. [Main points](#)
2. [Percentage of people who had COVID-19 in UK countries](#)
3. [Sub-national analysis of the percentage of people who had COVID-19](#)
4. [Age analysis of the percentage of people who had COVID-19](#)
5. [Number of new COVID-19 infections in UK countries](#)
6. [Analysis of viral load and variants of COVID-19](#)
7. [Test sensitivity and specificity](#)
8. [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey data](#)
9. [Collaboration](#)
10. [Glossary](#)
11. [Measuring the data](#)
12. [Strengths and limitations](#)
13. [Related links](#)

1 . Main points

To ensure our latest estimates are available at the earliest opportunity during this period of high infections of coronavirus (COVID-19), we will be publishing early [headline results](#) on Wednesdays. Our latest headline results were published on Wednesday 26 January 2022. The analysis in this bulletin provides further breakdowns of these results for the same period and a longer data time series.

- In England, the percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 continued to decrease in the week ending 22 January 2022; we estimate that 2,629,400 people in England had COVID-19 (95% credible interval: 2,542,500 to 2,716,500), equating to around 1 in 20 people.
- In Wales, the percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 continued to decrease in the week ending 22 January 2022; we estimate that 99,500 people in Wales had COVID-19 (95% credible interval: 83,300 to 117,100), equating to around 1 in 30 people.
- In Northern Ireland, the trend in the percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 was uncertain in the week ending 22 January 2022; we estimate that 96,500 people in Northern Ireland had COVID-19 (95% credible interval: 81,600 to 112,700), equating to around 1 in 20 people.
- In Scotland, the percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 continued to decrease in the week ending 22 January 2022; we estimate that 163,600 people in Scotland had COVID-19 (95% credible interval: 143,600 to 184,500), equating to around 1 in 30 people.

Within this bulletin, we summarise some of the latest results from the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey. For more detailed information on our methods see our [COVID-19 Infection Survey methodology article](#). You can also find out more about [comparing methods used in the Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey and NHS Test and Trace](#) in our article, to better understand comparisons in data sources.

About this bulletin

In this bulletin, we refer to the number of current COVID-19 infections within the population living in private residential households. We exclude those in hospitals, care homes and/or other communal establishments. In communal establishments, rates of COVID-19 infection are likely to be different. More information about the COVID-19 pandemic from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) and other sources can be found in our [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) latest insights](#).

The positivity rate is the percentage of people who have tested positive for COVID-19 on a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test at a point in time. We use current COVID-19 infections to mean testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, with or without having symptoms, on a swab taken from the nose and throat. This is different to the incidence rate, which is a measure of only the new PCR positive cases in a given time period. All analysis was produced with our research partners at the University of Oxford.

Our estimates are based on confirmed positive test results. The remaining swabs are either negative, which are included in our analysis, or are inconclusive, which are not included in our analysis. Some swabs are test failures, which are also not included in our analysis. The impact of excluding inconclusive results on our estimates of positive infections is likely to be very small and unlikely to affect the trend.

More information on COVID-19 and taking part in our survey

- If you have any further questions, please email the CIS operations team: COVID-19@ons.gov.uk.
- Find the latest on [coronavirus \(COVID-19\) in the UK](#).
- [Explore the latest coronavirus data](#) from the ONS and other sources.
- View all [coronavirus data](#).
- Find out how we are [working safely in our studies and surveys](#).

[Early management information](#) from the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey is made available to government decision-makers to inform their response to COVID-19. Occasionally we may publish figures early if it is considered in the public interest. We will ensure that we pre-announce any ad hoc or early publications as soon as we can. These will include supporting information where possible to aid user understanding. This is consistent with guidance from the Office for Statistics Regulation (OSR).

How the data in this bulletin can be used

The data can be used for:

- estimating the number of positive cases among the population living in private households, including cases where people do not report having any symptoms
- identifying differences in numbers of positive cases between UK countries and different regions in England
- estimating the number of new cases and change over time in positive cases

The data cannot be used for:

- measuring the number of cases and infections in care homes, hospitals and/or other communal establishments
- providing information about recovery time of those infected

2 . Percentage of people who had COVID-19 in UK countries

In England, Wales and Scotland, the percentage of people testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19) continued to decrease in the week ending 22 January 2022. In Northern Ireland, the trend in the percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 was uncertain in the week ending 22 January 2022. Our reported headline positivity estimates contain Omicron (BA.1) and all other variants.

All estimates are based on statistical modelling of the trend in rates of positive nose and throat swab results. All our estimates are subject to uncertainty given that a sample is only part of the wider population. Additionally, the estimates for the very latest days may change as more test results are received. Therefore, caution should be taken in over-interpreting any small movements in the latest trends.

Table 1: Official reported estimates of the percentage of the population testing positive for COVID-19, UK countries

Estimated percentage of the population testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19) on nose and throat swabs, UK, 16 to 22 January 2022

| Country | Estimated average % of the population that had COVID-19 | 95% credible interval | | Estimated average number of people testing positive for COVID-19 | 95% credible interval | | Estimated average ratio of the population that had COVID-19 | 95% credible interval | |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------|--|-----------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------|---------|
| | | Lower | Upper | | Lower | Upper | | Lower | Upper |
| England | 4.82 | 4.66 | 4.98 | 2,629,400 | 2,542,500 | 2,716,500 | 1 in 20 | 1 in 20 | 1 in 20 |
| Wales | 3.27 | 2.74 | 3.85 | 99,500 | 83,300 | 117,100 | 1 in 30 | 1 in 35 | 1 in 25 |
| Northern Ireland | 5.26 | 4.45 | 6.14 | 96,500 | 81,600 | 112,700 | 1 in 20 | 1 in 20 | 1 in 15 |
| Scotland | 3.11 | 2.73 | 3.50 | 163,600 | 143,600 | 184,500 | 1 in 30 | 1 in 35 | 1 in 30 |

Source: Office for National Statistics – Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey

Notes

1. These statistics refer to infections occurring in private households.
2. All estimates are subject to uncertainty, a credible interval gives an indication of the uncertainty of an estimate from data analysis.
3. The ratios presented are rounded to the nearest 100 if over 1,000, to the nearest 10 if under 1,000, and to the nearest 5 if under 100. This may result in credible intervals which appear to be similar to the estimated average ratio.
4. These ratios do not represent a person's risk of becoming infected, since risk of infection depends on a number of factors such as contact with others or whether a person has been vaccinated.

Because of the relatively smaller number of tests in Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland in our sample, credible intervals are wider and therefore results should be interpreted with caution. Wide credible intervals mean that differences between the central estimates within and between nations may appear smaller or more exaggerated than they really are.

Figure 1: The percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 continued to decrease in England, Wales and Scotland, and the trend was uncertain in Northern Ireland, in the week ending 22 January 2022

Estimated percentage of the population testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19) on nose and throat swabs, UK, 31 January 2021 to 22 January 2022

Notes:

1. Modelled results are provisional and subject to revision.
2. These statistics refer to infections occurring in private households.
3. All estimates are subject to uncertainty, a [credible interval](#) gives an indication of the uncertainty of an estimate from data analysis.
4. Official reported estimates are plotted at a reference point believed to be most representative of the given week.
5. Official estimates present the best estimate at that point in time. Modelled estimates are used to calculate the official reported estimate. The model smooths the series to understand the trend and is revised each week to incorporate new test results, providing the best indication of trend over time.
6. Official estimates are displayed over a rolling year up to the most recent week. The full time series of our official estimates from 27 April 2020 onwards are available in the [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey datasets](#).

Download the data

[.xlsx](#)

About our estimates

Our headline estimates of the percentage of people testing positive in England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland are the latest official estimates. We include different measures to support our estimation and this section outlines the appropriate uses of all the approaches.

Official estimates should be used to understand the positivity rate for a single point in time. This is based on the modelled estimate for the latest week and is our best and most stable estimate, used in all previous outputs. The modelled estimate is more suited to understanding the recent trend. This is because the model is regularly updated to include new test results and smooths the trend over time. These modelled estimates can be found in the [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey datasets](#).

The estimates for non-overlapping 14-day periods (which underpin our modelled official estimates) and the unweighted sample counts are included in the [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey datasets](#). These estimates are produced using a different method of weighting to the model and are available for people who wish to compare infection levels over time in this way. For more information on our methods and quality surrounding the estimates please see our [COVID-19 Infection Survey methods article](#) and [Quality and Methodology Information report](#).

All estimates presented in this bulletin are provisional results. As swabs are not necessarily analysed in date order by the laboratory, we have not yet received test results for all swabs taken on the dates included in this analysis. Estimates may therefore be revised as more test results are included.

3 . Sub-national analysis of the percentage of people who had COVID-19

In the week ending 22 January 2022, the percentage of people testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19) decreased across all regions of England, except in the South West. In the South West, the percentage testing positive decreased in the two weeks up to 22 January 2022, but the trend was uncertain in the most recent week. Caution should be taken in over-interpreting any small movements in the latest trend.

In the week ending 22 January 2022, the percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 was highest in the North East: 6.92% (95% credible interval: 6.08% to 7.84%) and lowest in the South West: 3.38% (95% credible interval: 2.99% to 3.78%).

In the data used to produce these estimates, the number of people sampled in each region who tested positive for COVID-19 was low relative to England overall. This means there is a higher degree of uncertainty in the regional estimates for this period, as indicated by larger credible intervals.

Figure 2: The percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 decreased across all regions of England, except in the South West, in the week ending 22 January 2022

Modelled daily percentage of the population testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19) on nose and throat swabs by region, England, 12 December 2021 to 22 January 2022

Notes:

1. All results are provisional and subject to revision.
2. These statistics refer to infections occurring in private households.
3. All estimates are subject to uncertainty, a [credible interval](#) gives an indication of the uncertainty of an estimate from data analysis.
4. The credible intervals widen slightly at the end as there is a delay between the swab being taken and reporting of results.
5. The percentage of people testing positive by region was calculated using a similar modelling approach to the national daily estimates in [Section 2: Percentage of people who had COVID-19 in England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland](#).
6. The analysis is conducted over a six-week period, which means specific positive cases move into and then out of the sample. This causes variability between estimates over time, which is expected given the lower number of positive tests within each region, compared with England as a whole.
7. We describe trends by comparing the estimate for the reference day with the estimate for 7 and 14 days prior.

Download the data

[.xlsx](#)

Estimates for non-overlapping 14-day periods (which underpin our modelled estimates) by region are available in our [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey datasets](#) and are provided as an alternative measure over time for context.

Sub-regional analysis of the UK

Sub-regional estimates are based on a different model to our headline national estimates and should not be compared with one another. The number of people sampled in each sub-regional area who tested positive is lower compared with the number testing positive in their respective national samples. This means there is more uncertainty in sub-regional estimates and caution should be taken when interpreting or ranking them.

In the week ending 22 January 2022, the percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 varied across sub-regions of the UK. Figure 3 presents the modelled estimates for sub-regions of all UK countries for 16 to 22 January 2022.

Figure 3: The percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 by sub-regions of the UK

Modelled percentage of the population testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19) on nose and throat swabs by sub-regional geography, UK, 16 January 2022 to 22 January 2022

Notes:

1. All results are provisional and subject to revision.
2. These statistics refer to infections occurring in private households.
3. All estimates are subject to uncertainty, a [credible interval](#) gives an indication of the uncertainty of an estimate from data analysis.
4. Sub-regional estimates are based on a different model to our headline estimates. Our sub-regional estimates are calculated as an average over a seven-day period and should not be compared with our headline positivity estimates, which are for a single reference date. Therefore, the subregional figures may differ from the headline estimates because they are averaged over a longer time period. If a trend is changing, the figures shown in Figure 3 may not reflect the change we are seeing in our headline estimates.
5. We have modelled Wales and Scotland separately to England. Northern Ireland is always modelled separately as it does not share a land border with the other UK countries, and so requires a different geospatial modelling approach.
6. The colour scale has been adjusted from the 7 January 2022 publication onwards to accommodate increased levels of infections in the analysis and so cannot be compared with sub-regional charts in previous bulletins.

Download the data

[.xlsx](#)

4 . Age analysis of the percentage of people who had COVID-19

Age analysis by category for England

Our age categories separate children and young people by school age:

- "aged 2 years to school Year 6" includes children in primary school and below
- "school Year 7 to school Year 11" includes children in secondary school
- "school Year 12 to those aged 24 years" includes young adults who may be in further or higher education

This means that 11- to 12-year-olds and 16- to 17-year-olds have been split between different age categories depending on whether their birthday is before or after 1 September.

Estimates are based on smaller sample sizes within each age group relative to England overall. There is a higher degree of uncertainty as indicated by larger credible intervals. These can be found in the [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey: England dataset](#).

The percentage of people testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19) continued to increase for those aged 2 years to school Year 6 and increased for those in school Year 7 to school Year 11, in the week ending 22 January 2022. In the same week, the percentage of people testing positive decreased for all other age groups.

In the week ending 22 January 2022, the percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 was highest for those aged 2 years to school Year 6: 11.80% tested positive (95% credible interval: 10.96% to 12.68%). The percentage of people testing positive was lowest for older adults: 2.88% (95% credible interval: 2.69% to 3.09%) for those aged 50 to 69 years, and 2.21% (95% credible interval: 1.99% to 2.46%) for those aged 70 years and over.

Figure 4: The percentage of people testing positive for COVID-19 decreased in all age groups except those aged 2 years to school Year 6 and school Year 7 to school Year 11, in the week ending 22 January 2022

Modelled daily percentage of the population testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19) on nose and throat swabs by age group, England, 12 December 2021 to 22 January 2022

Notes:

1. All results are provisional and subject to revision.
2. These statistics refer to infections occurring in private households.
3. All estimates are subject to uncertainty, a [credible interval](#) gives an indication of the uncertainty of an estimate from data analysis.
4. Credible intervals widen slightly at the end as there can be a delay between the swab being taken and reporting of results. Because of this greater uncertainty in the most recent days, we report latest figures based on a reference day during that week. This week the reference day is 19 January 2022.

Download the data

[.xlsx](#)

Estimates for non-overlapping 14-day periods (which underpin our modelled estimates) by age group are available in our [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey datasets](#) and are provided as an alternative measure over time for context.

We are unable to produce the same grouped analysis as presented in Figure 4 for the devolved administrations because of smaller sample sizes within each age group. We are able to produce analysis on positivity by single year of age for Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland using a different model and these estimates can be found in the following section and the [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey datasets](#).

Age analysis by single year of age over time by country

In this section, we present modelled daily estimates of the percentage testing positive for COVID-19. These estimates are by single year of age over time for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland from 12 December 2021 to 22 January 2022 and are presented in Figure 5. They are produced using a different method to the grouped age analysis for England presented previously and are therefore not comparable. Caution should be taken in over-interpreting small movements in positivity day to day.

Estimates are based on smaller sample sizes within each age group in comparison with the total sample size for each country. There is a higher degree of uncertainty as indicated by larger confidence intervals. These can be found in the [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey datasets](#).

The data presented in Figure 5 for England suggest that the percentage testing positive decreased for all ages in the most recent week, except those aged 2 years to school Year 11. Uncertainty is high for all ages in Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland in comparison with England because of comparatively smaller sample sizes, as indicated by wider confidence intervals.

In Wales, the percentage testing positive has increased for primary and secondary school children, decreased in the older ages and the trend was uncertain for young adults. In Northern Ireland, the trends in the percentage testing positive were uncertain for all ages. In Scotland, the trend in the percentage testing positive was uncertain for those of school age, and there was a decrease for young adults and older ages.

Further analysis on age for [Wales](#), [Northern Ireland](#) and [Scotland](#) is published by their respective statistical agencies. Analysis for Wales is published in [English](#) and [Welsh](#).

Figure 5: The percentage testing positive for COVID-19 by single year of age over time for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland

Modelled daily percentage of the population testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19) on nose and throat swabs by single year of age, UK, 12 December 2021 to 22 January 2022

Notes:

1. All results are provisional and subject to revision.
2. These statistics refer to infections occurring in private households.
3. These estimates use a different method to previous modelled daily estimates of the percentage testing positive by age group for England and are therefore not comparable.
4. Uncertainty is high for all ages in Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland in comparison with England because of smaller sample sizes, as indicated by wider [confidence intervals](#).

Download the data

[.xlsx](#)

5 . Number of new COVID-19 infections in UK countries

The [incidence rate](#) is a measure of new polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-positive cases per day per 10,000 people in a given time period. In this section we look at an earlier time period to our percentage testing positive analysis. We include estimates of the incidence rate in our [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey datasets](#) and in Table 2.

In the week ending 8 January 2022, the number of new PCR-positive COVID-19 cases per day decreased in England, Wales and Scotland. In Northern Ireland, the number of new PCR-positive COVID-19 cases per day increased in the two weeks up to 8 January 2022, but the trend was uncertain in the most recent week. [Credible intervals](#) are wider for Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland because of relatively smaller sample sizes, and care should be taken in interpreting results.

Table 2: Official reported estimates of incidence, UK countries

Estimated coronavirus (COVID-19) incidence rate per 10,000 people per day, based on nose and throat swabs, UK, 2 to 8 January 2022

| Country | Estimated COVID-19 incidence rate per 10,000 people per day | 95% lower credible interval | 95% upper credible interval |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| England | 57.1 | 53.9 | 60.5 |
| Wales | 37.8 | 27.9 | 47.9 |
| Northern Ireland | 59.2 | 47.7 | 71.6 |
| Scotland | 46.6 | 37.7 | 56.0 |

Source: Office for National Statistics – Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey

Notes

1. These statistics refer to infections occurring in private households.
2. All estimates are subject to uncertainty, a credible interval gives an indication of the uncertainty of an estimate from data analysis.

The reference date used for our official estimates of incidence of PCR-positive cases is 14 days before the positivity reference day, meaning that there is a two-week lag between the incidence estimate and the positivity estimate. This is necessary as estimates later than this date are more likely to change as we receive additional data. While we believe that the incidence estimates are useful, they can be volatile and subject to change as more data become available. For more information on how we calculate estimates of incidence please see [COVID-19 Infection Survey: methods and further information](#).

6 . Analysis of viral load and variants of COVID-19

Each week, we publish [Cycle-threshold \(Ct\) values](#), which indicate viral load and act as a proxy for the strength of the virus. We also break down infections by variant based on gene positivity patterns from our swab tests.

The "Cycle threshold", known as a Ct value, is used as a proxy for the quantity of the virus, also known as the viral load. The higher the viral load, the lower the Ct value. These values are helpful for monitoring the strength of the virus. The Ct values of coronavirus (COVID-19) positive tests are provided in the [technical dataset](#) that accompanies this bulletin.

The main Omicron variant (BA.1) has changes in one of the three genes that coronavirus swab tests detect, known as the S-gene. This means in cases compatible with this Omicron variant, the S-gene is no longer detected by the current test. When there is a high viral load (for example, when a person is most infectious), absence of the S-gene in combination with the presence of the other two genes (ORF1ab and N-genes) is a reliable indicator of this Omicron variant (BA.1). However, as the viral load decreases (for example, if someone is near the end of their recovery from the infection), the absence of the S-gene is a less reliable indicator of this Omicron variant.

The [World Health Organization \(WHO\) have defined names for variants of concern](#). These are variants that the UK government has under surveillance. You can find out more in the latest [SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England briefing document](#).

UK variants of concern:

- Alpha: B.1.1.7
- Beta: B.1.351
- Gamma: P.1
- Delta: B.1.617.2 and its genetic descendants
- Omicron: B.1.1.529 (which includes sublineages BA.1, BA.2 and BA.3)

Since the end of December, infections compatible with the Omicron variant have been the most common in all four UK countries.

The most recent week shows that 99% of all sequenced COVID-19 infections are the Omicron variant. Our latest complete sequenced data lags our main variant analysis and the 99% is an average over a whole week to 16 January 2022.

Because of the high proportion of a single variant across all four UK countries, we have not included charts showing breakdown by variant in this bulletin. However, our variant analysis by country and by region has been updated and can be found in our accompanying [technical dataset](#). Our main variant analysis is for a reference day, and therefore is not directly comparable with the sequencing data. We will continue to monitor infections by variant and will reintroduce the charts when considered helpful.

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey: technical dataset](#) contains several tables relating to analysis on variants, including the genetic lineages of the virus seen in the samples we sequence. More information on how we measure variants from positive tests on the survey can be found in our [Understanding COVID-19 variants blog](#). Our [COVID-19 Infection Survey methods article](#) gives more detail about how we sequence the virus' genetic material.

The sequencing is produced by Northumbria University and analysis is produced by research partners at the University of Oxford. Of particular note are Dr Katrina Lythgoe, Dr David Bonsall, Dr Tanya Golubchik, and Dr Helen Fryer. Genome sequencing is funded by the COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK) consortium. COG-UK is supported by funding from the Medical Research Council (MRC) part of UK Research and Innovation (UKRI), the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR), and Genome Research Limited operating as the Wellcome Sanger Institute.

7 . Test sensitivity and specificity

The estimates provided in Sections 2 to 6 are for the percentage of the private-residential population testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19), otherwise known as the positivity rate. We do not report the prevalence rate. To calculate the prevalence rate, we would need an accurate understanding of the swab test's sensitivity (true-positive rate) and specificity (true-negative rate).

While we do not know the true sensitivity and specificity of the test, our data and related studies provide an indication of what these are likely to be. In particular, the data suggest that the false-positive rate is very low -- under 0.005%. We do not know the sensitivity of the swab test. However, other studies suggest that sensitivity (the rate of true-positive test results) may be somewhere between 85% and 98%.

You can find more information on sensitivity and specificity in our [COVID-19 Infection Survey methods article](#) and our [blog that explains why we trust the data from the COVID-19 Infection Survey](#). You can find more information on the data suggesting that our test's false-positive rate is very low in [a paper written by academic partners](#) at the University of Oxford.

8 . Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey data

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey: England](#)

Dataset | Released 28 January 2022

Findings from the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey for England.

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey: Northern Ireland](#)

Dataset | Released 28 January 2022

Findings from the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey for Northern Ireland.

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey: Scotland](#)

Dataset | Released 28 January 2022

Findings from the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey for Scotland.

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey: Wales](#)

Dataset | Released 28 January 2022

Findings from the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey for Wales.

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey: technical data](#)

Dataset | Released 28 January 2022

Technical and methodological data from the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey, England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland.

9 . Collaboration



UK Health
Security
Agency



The Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey analysis was produced by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) in collaboration with our research partners at the University of Oxford, the University of Manchester, UK Health Security Agency (UK HSA) and Wellcome Trust. Of particular note are:

- Sarah Walker - University of Oxford, Nuffield Department for Medicine: Professor of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology and Study Chief Investigator
- Koen Pouwels - University of Oxford, Health Economics Research Centre, Nuffield Department of Population Health: Senior Researcher in Biostatistics and Health Economics
- Thomas House - University of Manchester, Department of Mathematics: Reader in Mathematical Statistics

10 . Glossary

Confidence interval

A confidence interval gives an indication of the degree of uncertainty of an estimate, showing the precision of a sample estimate. The 95% confidence intervals are calculated so that if we repeated the study many times, 95% of the time the true unknown value would lie between the lower and upper confidence limits. A wider interval indicates more uncertainty in the estimate. Overlapping confidence intervals indicate that there may not be a true difference between two estimates. For more information, see methodology page on [statistical uncertainty](#).

Credible interval

A credible interval gives an indication of the uncertainty of an estimate from data analysis. The 95% credible intervals are calculated so that there is a 95% probability of the true value lying in the interval.

Cycle threshold (Ct) values

The strength of a positive coronavirus (COVID-19) test is determined by how quickly the virus is detected, measured by a cycle threshold (Ct) value. The lower the Ct value, the higher the viral load and stronger the positive test. Positive results with a high Ct value can be seen in the early stages of infection when virus levels are rising, or late in the infection, when the risk of transmission is low.

False-positives and false-negatives

A false-positive result occurs when the tests suggest a person has COVID-19 when in fact they do not. By contrast, a false-negative result occurs when the tests suggest a person does not have COVID-19 when in fact they do. For more information on false-positives and false-negatives, see our [methods article](#) and our [blog](#).

Incidence rate

The incidence rate is a measure of the estimated number of new polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-positive cases per day per 10,000 people at a given point in time. It is different to positivity, which is an estimate of all current PCR positive cases at a point in time, regardless of whether the infection is new or existing.

11 . Measuring the data

Reference dates

We aim to provide the estimates of positivity rate (the percentage of those who test positive) and incidence that are most timely and most representative of each week. We decide the most recent week we can report on based on the availability of test results for visits that have already happened, accounting for the fact that swabs have to be couriered to the labs, tested and results returned. On most occasions, the reference dates align perfectly, but sometimes this is not feasible. This week, the reference week for positivity is 16 to 22 January 2022 for all UK countries.

Within the most recent week, we provide an official estimate for positivity rate based on a reference point from the modelled trends. For positivity rates, we can include all swab test results, even from the most recent visits. Therefore, although we are still expecting further swab test results from the labs, there were sufficient data for the official estimate for infection to be based on a reference point after the start of the reference week. To improve stability in our modelling while maintaining relative timeliness of our estimates, we are reporting our official estimates based on the midpoint of the reference week. This week, the reference day for positivity rates is Wednesday 19 January 2022 for all UK countries.

The reference date used for our official estimates of incidence of polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-positive cases is 14 days prior to the positivity reference day. This is necessary as estimates later than this date are more likely to change as we receive additional data. This week, the reference week for incidence is 2 to 8 January 2022 and the reference day is Wednesday 5 January 2022 for all UK countries.

Response rates

Response rates for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland cannot be regarded as final response rates to the survey since those who are invited are not given a time limit in which to respond, and different modes of sampling are not comparable. Response rates for each nation are found in the [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey: technical dataset](#). We provide response rates separately for the different sampling phases of the study. Additional information on response rates can be found in our [COVID-19 Infection Survey methods article](#).

Survey fieldwork

Survey fieldwork for the pilot study began in England on 26 April 2020. In Wales, fieldwork began on 29 June 2020, in Northern Ireland fieldwork began on 26 July 2020 and in Scotland fieldwork began on 21 September 2020.

Sub-regional geographies

We have presented modelled estimates for the most recent week of data at the sub-regional level. To balance granularity with statistical power, we have grouped together local authorities into COVID-19 Infection Survey sub-regions. The geographies are a rules-based composition of local authorities, and local authorities with a population over 200,000 have been retained where possible.

The boundaries for these COVID-19 Infection Survey sub-regions can be found on the [Open Geography Portal](#).

Other Coronavirus Infection Survey (CIS) analysis and studies

This study is one of a number of studies that look to provide information around the coronavirus pandemic within the UK. For information on other studies see [Section 11: Measuring the data](#) in our previous bulletin dated 30 April 2021.

12 . Strengths and limitations

These statistics have been produced quickly in response to developing world events. The [Office for Statistics Regulation \(OSR\)](#), on behalf of the UK Statistics Authority, has reviewed them on [14 May 2020](#) and [17 March 2021](#) against several important aspects of the [Code of Practice for Statistics](#) and regards them as consistent with the Code's pillars of [trustworthiness](#), [quality](#) and [value](#).

The estimates presented in this bulletin contain [uncertainty](#). There are many sources of uncertainty, including uncertainty in the test, in the estimates and in the quality of data collected in the questionnaire. Information on the main sources of uncertainty are presented in our [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey Quality and Methodology Information report](#), our [methodology article](#), and [our blog that explains why we trust the data from the COVID-19 Infection Survey](#).

13 . Related links

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey: characteristics of people testing positive for COVID-19 in countries of the UK](#)

Bulletin | Updated fortnightly

The characteristics of people testing positive for coronavirus (COVID-19) from the COVID-19 Infection Survey. This survey is being delivered in partnership with the University of Oxford, the University of Manchester, UK Health Security Agency and Wellcome Trust.

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey: antibody and vaccination data for the UK](#)

Bulletin | Updated fortnightly

Antibody and vaccination data by UK country and English regions from the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey. This survey is being delivered in partnership with the University of Oxford, University of Manchester, UK Health Security Agency and Wellcome Trust.

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) latest insights](#)

Interactive tool | Updated as and when data become available

The latest data and trends about the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) and other official sources.

[Deaths registered weekly in England and Wales, provisional](#)

Bulletin | Updated weekly

Provisional counts of the number of deaths registered in England and Wales, including deaths involving COVID-19, by age, sex and region, in the latest weeks for which data are available.

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey technical article: Analysis of characteristics associated with vaccination uptake](#)

Technical article | Released 15 November 2021

Analysis of populations in the UK by likelihood of being vaccinated against COVID-19 using the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey. This survey is being delivered in partnership with University of Oxford, University of Manchester, UK Health Security Agency and Wellcome Trust.

[COVID-19 Infection Survey: methods and further information](#)

Methodology article | Updated 24 August 2021

Information on the methods used to collect the data, process it, and calculate the statistics produced from the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey.

[Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) Infection Survey QMI](#)

Methodology article | Updated 16 July 2021

Quality and Methodology Information for the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey (CIS), detailing the strengths and limitations of the data, methods used, and data uses and users.