

# England natural capital accounts methodology: 2023

How the English natural capital ecosystem service accounts are measured and developed, including the specific methods used to value individual components of natural capital, and physical and monetary data sources.

Contact:
Max Engledew
natural.capital.team@ons.gov.uk
+44 1663 580051

Release date: 25 January 2023

Next release: To be announced

## **Table of contents**

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Annual ecosystem service flow valuation
- 3. Resource rent definition and assumptions
- 4. Asset valuation
- 5. Methodology by service
- 6. Cite this methodology

## 1. Introduction

The methodology used to develop these estimates remains under development; the estimates reported in our <u>England natural capital accounts: 2023</u> are experimental and should be interpreted in this context.

<u>Experimental Statistics</u> are those that are in the testing phase, are not yet fully developed and have not been submitted for assessment to the UK Statistics Authority. Experimental Statistics are published to involve customers and stakeholders in their development and as a means of building in quality at an early stage.

This article describes the methodology used to develop Natural Capital Ecosystem Service Accounts. The broad approach to valuation and the overarching assumptions made are explained in this article.

This is followed by a more detailed description of the specific methodologies used to value the individual components of natural capital and physical and monetary data sources.

The methodologies used largely align with those used in the <u>UK natural capital accounts: 2022</u> except the provisioning service of oil and gas production where methodological improvements have been implemented. For comparability, updated UK totals and values for oil and gas provisioning have been provided in the supplementary tables.

Where English values are not available disaggregated from UK estimates, apportioning methods have been implemented. Apportioning has been used for agricultural biomass, water abstraction, mineral extraction, oil and gas production, coal production, and recreational and aesthetic benefit to house prices. Further detail is provided in the following relevant sections.

We have used a wide variety of sources for estimates of English natural capital, which have been compiled in line with the guidelines recommended by the United Nations (UN) System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) Central Framework and System of Environmental-Economic Accounting Experimental Ecosystem Accounting principles, which are in turn part of the wider framework of the system of national accounts.

As the UN guidance is still under development, the Office for National Statistics (ONS) and the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) published a summary of the <u>principles underlying the accounts</u>.

We welcome discussion regarding any of the approaches presented.

# 2. Annual ecosystem service flow valuation

Broadly, two approaches are used to value the annual service flows. For fish capture, timber, carbon sequestration, pollution removal, noise mitigation, urban cooling, recreation, and tourism an estimate of physical quantity is multiplied by a price. This price satisfies two accounting conditions:

- 1. Identifying a price that relates, as closely as possible, to contributions provided by the ecosystem to the economy.
- Where no market exists, imputing a price that an ecosystem could charge for its services in a theoretical market.

These conditions are necessary to integrate and align ecosystem services to services elsewhere in the national accounts, for example, in the accounts woodland timber is an input to the timber sector.

For agricultural biomass, water abstraction, minerals, fossil fuels, renewable, and electricity generation a residual value resource rent approach is used.

# 3. Resource rent definition and assumptions

The resource rent can be interpreted as the annual return stemming directly from the natural capital asset itself. This is the surplus value accruing to the extractor or user of a natural capital asset calculated after all costs and normal returns have been considered.

Variations of this approach are applied depending on the category of natural capital under assessment; the variations are explained in the individual ecosystem service methodology. The steps involved in calculating the resource rent are as follows:

- gross operating surplus (System of National Accounts basis) equals output minus operating costs (intermediate consumption plus compensation of employees plus other taxes on production) plus other subsidies on production
- gross operating surplus (resource rent derivation) equals gross operating surplus (System of National Accounts basis) minus specific subsidies on extraction plus specific taxes on extraction
- resource rent equals gross operating surplus (resource rent derivation) minus user costs of produced assets (consumption of fixed capital and return to produced assets)

Most of the data used in resource rent calculations are available from the <u>input-output tables</u>. Return to produced asset estimates are calculated using industry-based <u>net capital stocks</u> and the nominal <u>10-year government bond yield</u> published by the Bank of England, then deflated using the gross domestic product (GDP) deflator to produce the real yield. The government bond yield rate is relatively conservative compared with those expected in certain markets and could overstate the resulting resource rent estimates.

Technical guidance on <u>SEEA Experimental Ecosystems Accounting (page 107) (PDF, 2.9MB)</u> acknowledges that the use of the method may result in very small or even negative resource rents.

#### Obst, Hein and Edens (2015) conclude that:

"resource rent type approaches are inappropriate in cases where market structures do not permit the observed market price to incorporate a reasonable exchange value for the relevant ecosystem service. Under these circumstances, alternative approaches, for example, replacement cost approaches, may need to be considered"

If the residual value approach does not produce plausible estimates for subsoil assets and provisioning services, alternative methods should be explored (<u>Principle 7.7 in Principals of natural capital accounting</u>). Finally, where unit resource rents can be satisfactorily derived, care still needs to be taken in applying these at a disaggregated level. Even for abiotic flows, the extraction or economic costs could vary spatially, and hence national unit resource rents could be misleading for specific regions.

## 4. Asset valuation

The net present value (NPV) approach is recommended by SEEA and is applied for all ecosystem services to estimate the asset value. The NPV approach estimates the stream of services that are expected to be generated over the life of the asset. These values are then discounted back to the present accounting period. This provides an estimate of the capital value of the asset relating to that service at a given point in time. There are three main aspects of the NPV method:

- pattern of expected future flows of values
- asset life time period over which the flows of values are expected to be generated
- · choice of discount rate

## Pattern of expected future flows of services

An important factor in the valuation of natural capital is determining the expected pattern of future flows of services. These paths are not observed and hence assumptions concerning the flows must be made, generally as a projection of the latest trends.

A basic way to estimate the expected flows is to assume that the current flow (averaged over recent years) is constant over the asset life. In some cases, more information is available on future expected levels of services in non-monetary terms or future unit prices. Where there are readily available official projections these have been considered but otherwise the default assumption in these estimates is that the value of the services is constant over time.

Where the pattern of expected service values is assumed to be constant, it is based on averages over the latest five years of data, up to and including the reference year in question.

#### **Asset life**

The asset life is the expected time over which the services from a natural resource are expected to be provided. An estimate of the asset life is an important component in the NPV model because it determines the expected term over which the service flows from an asset should be discounted.

Following the ONS and Defra <u>principles paper</u>, this article takes one of three approaches when determining the life of a natural capital asset.

Non-renewable natural capital assets: where a sufficient level of information on the expected asset lives is available this asset life is applied in the calculations. Where this is not available, a 25-year asset life is assumed.

Renewable natural capital assets are assumed to have a 100-year lifetime.

#### Choice of discount rate

A discount rate is required to convert the expected stream of monetary flows into a current period estimate of the overall value. A discount rate expresses a time preference - the preference for the owner of an asset to receive income now rather than in the future. It also reflects the owner's attitude to risk. The use of discount rates in NPV calculations can be interpreted as an expected rate of return on the environmental assets.

Based on an extensive review (PDF, 453KB) by external consultants, the ONS and Defra use the social discount rate set out in the HM Treasury Green Book (2003, page 100). In line with guidance set out in the document, estimates presented in this article assume a 3.5% discount rate for flows projected out to 30 years, declining to 3.0% thereafter and 2.5% after 75 years. The rationale for this approach is discussed further in the ONS and Defra principles paper.

The discount rates applied to air pollution removal services and health benefits from recreation have been updated to employ the health discount rates as detailed in HM Treasury's <u>Green Book</u>. The rationale for this lies in the health-based nature of the ecosystem service and the resulting health benefits derived by society. The future utility benefits associated with these service provisions differ from typical consumption goods in that they are unlikely to be characterised by high rates of diminishing marginal utility, therefore lower rates of discounting are appropriate.

# 5. Methodology by service

The following section provides an explanation of the data sources and methods used in each service.

## **Agricultural biomass**

Agricultural biomass relates to the value of crops, fodder and grazed biomass provided to support agricultural production. Agricultural statistics are published by Defra. Grazed biomass calculations are based upon livestock numbers and livestock annual roughage requirements provided in the <a href="Eurostat Economy-wide Material Flow-Accounts">Eurostat Economy-wide Material Flow-Accounts</a> (EW-MFA) questionnaire. This approach is also used in the UK <a href="Material Flow-Accounts">Material Flow-Accounts</a>.

Estimating the proportion of agricultural production which can be attributed to nature rather than modern intensive farming practices, is challenging. Modern farmers heavily manage and interact with the natural services supplied on their land. For example, sowing, irrigation, fertiliser spreading, pesticide use, and livestock management are all industrial practices applied to the land. Very intensive farming may even take place entirely indoors without soil or natural light. At the other extreme, livestock may be allowed to roam freely over semi-natural grassland with very limited human intervention.

As with the principles applied to the UK natural capital accounts, we draw the line between the farmland ecosystem and the economy at the point at which vegetable biomass is extracted (<a href="Principles of natural capital accounting">Principle 5.3</a>). Conceptually, this means farmed animals should not be included in these estimates as they are considered produced rather than natural assets, however this exclusion is not currently possible given data limitations. Grass and feed that livestock eat are regarded as ecosystem services and so are included. This is also consistent with the boundary between the environment and the economy used in the <a href="material flows">material flows</a> accounts.

For the primary valuation of agricultural biomass, a residual value resource rent approach is used. This is based upon data for the <u>Standard Industrial Classification (SIC)</u> subdivision class: crop and animal production, hunting and related service activities (SIC 01). The <u>Input-output supply and use tables</u> and <u>capital stocks data</u> do not provide further SIC breakdowns, which is why the industry residual value includes animal production.

For the England natural capital accounts: 2023 agricultural biomass provisioning valuation, <u>UK input-output supply and use tables</u> values have been apportioned using the <u>aggregate agricultural accounts</u> for the UK and England, respectively. Gross operating surplus, taxes less subsides, and consumption of fixed capital were all apportioned based upon the same relative measures in the aggregate agricultural accounts. Net capital stock for SIC 01 was also apportioned based upon consumption of fixed capital reported in the aggregate agricultural accounts.

While residual value resource rent approaches should be used for valuing provisioning services in the first instance (<u>Principles of natural capital accounting, Principle 7.5</u>) top-down industry level estimates present difficulties in establishing clear ecosystem service logic chains and disaggregation. Condition indicators, or even physical flows of agricultural biomass, cannot readily be related to the estimated valuation of the service.

In previous accounts, a whole farm income method was also produced, representing a farm output level estimate of the industry residual value. Average whole farm income per hectare was calculated from the <a href="Farm Business Survey">Farm Business Survey</a> (England). This is calculated as output from agriculture (excluding subsidies and agri-environment payments) minus costs for agriculture (excluding agri-environment activities), then divided by total farm area.

For total whole farm income, income per hectare (England) is multiplied by UK utilised agricultural area from the <u>structure of the agricultural industry statistics</u>. This was done for illustrative purposes; future development will make full use of devolved datasets.

Theoretically, by aggregating data from farm level outputs upward, a whole farm income approach could be applied at any geography. This approach has the potential to create data linkages with condition indicators. This would allow improved valuation of the service flows and bring them onto a consistent basis with the valuation of other provisioning services. The responsiveness of a whole farm income does result in significant fluctuations in the service valuation, as outputs and costs are influenced by local and global external factors.

On a comparable basis, a <u>farm rental approach was also examined</u>. Total farm rental was estimated by applying an imputed rental cost to all agricultural land. Average "all farms" Farm Business Tenancies costs from the <u>Defra farm rents statistics</u> (England) was multiplied by UK utilised agricultural area from the <u>structure of the agricultural industry statistics</u>.

In practice farm rental represents a more stable annual valuation of the natural asset, with future low-level disaggregation potential, which could be linked with indicators of condition. However, further work is needed to consider if rental prices are materially inflated by tax breaks or development potential.

In future accounts we hope to further develop the agricultural biomass service valuation.

## Fish capture

We rely on a range of external sources that all involve known issues. For instance, Norway and Faroese landings are excluded from this analysis because of limited data coverage. The economic data are based on UK fleet data, which we also apply to European Union vessels that may face different costs and prices.

Aquaculture or farmed fish, have been removed from estimates as farmed fish are viewed as a produced asset and not a natural asset.

Physical data on marine fish capture (live weight) is sourced from the rectangle-level landings data published annually by the EU Commission's Joint Research Centre (JRC) Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) as part of the Fisheries Dependent Information (FDI) data call (deep sea).

To calculate marine fish capture in the UK, exclusive economic zone (EEZ) Marine Management Organisation ICES statistical rectangle factors were used. The overall fish capture provisioning service physical flow presented in this article represents landings (tonnage) from UK waters. UK boundaries do not perfectly align with the geographical areas of fish capture statistics. For more detail on how fish capture in UK waters is estimated, see the Marine Management Organisation Exclusive Economic Zone Analysis and associated publications.

Valuations are calculated using net profit per tonne (landed) estimates, provided by Seafish, for different marine species by marine areas. Net profit per tonne is calculated using Seafish economic estimates for fleet segments and Marine Management Organisation data on landings by stocks (landed value and landed weight) and landings by stocks and species (in cases where species are not managed by total allowable catches). Annual net profit per tonne (landed weight) is multiplied by tonnes of fish captured (live weight) for a specific species. The data are aggregated for overall annual valuations of fish provisioning from the UK EEZ.

Landed weight is the weight a product at the time of landing, regardless of the state in which it has been landed. Landed fish may be whole, gutted and headed or filleted. Live weight is the weight of a product, when removed from the water.

A notable limitation of the fish capture provisioning valuation methodology is that landed weight net profits were multiplied by live weight fish capture. Based on Marine Management Organisation data on live and landed weights of UK vessel landings into the UK, aggregate landed weight is around 7% less than live weight.

Net profit per tonne was not available for all fish species so not all the physical flow is valued. We can estimate a net profit valuation for 85% of the fish capture tonnage on average between 2015 and 2020. The provisioning service valuation of fish capture from UK waters is therefore likely to be an underestimate.

For all the fish species across UK waters, we estimate the sustainability of fishing using the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea's <u>stock assessments</u>. These do not include wider externalities from fishing. For each stock, we check that fishing pressure is at or below levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. We also check if each stock's spawning biomass is at or above the level capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield. Since 2014, we can determine stock sustainability for 76% of the fish capture tonnage, leaving 24% as unknown.

We can determine if the level of fishing for a specific stock is sustainable, but this approach does not consider the knock-on effects of unsustainable fishing to the wider ecosystem. For instance, if a fish species which forms a significant part of other fish species' diets is managed unsustainably, it risks affecting the sustainability of other fish stocks higher up the food chain.

#### **Timber**

The method used to value the provisioning services related to timber supply requires two inputs: the stumpage price and the physical amount of timber removed. Annual flow values are then generated by multiplying the two factors together.

Timber provisioning service asset valuations used <u>Forestry Commission forecasts of timber availability</u> to estimate the pattern of expected future flows of the service over the asset lifetime.

Removals estimates are taken from <u>Forestry Commission timber statistics</u> and converted from green tonnes to cubic metres (m3) overbark standing, using a conversion factor of 1.222 for softwood and 1.111 for hardwood.

The stumpage price is the price paid per standing tree, including the bark and before felling, from a given land area. Stumpage prices are sourced from the Forestry Commission Coniferous Standing Sales Price Index in the <u>Timber Price Indices publication</u> (2021). The Coniferous Standing Sales Price Index monitors changes in the average price received per cubic metre (overbark) for timber that the Forestry Commission or Natural Resources Wales sold standing, where the purchaser is responsible for harvesting.

#### Water abstraction

Physical data for water abstraction are sourced from Scottish Water, the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Natural Resources Wales, and Northern Ireland Water. No industry water data is available for Scotland so only data for public water supply are included to maintain consistency. Removing industry data also avoids double counting with the valuation of hydropower.

Monetary estimates are based on resource rents calculated for the SIC subdivision class: Water collection, treatment and supply (SIC 36). The definition of this industry subdivision states: "the collection, treatment and distribution of water for domestic and industrial needs. Collection of water from various sources, as well as distribution by various means is included". A limitation of this approach, therefore, is that the calculated resource rent is not purely related to water supply, but also includes the process of treating the water and rents made in industrial applications.

For the England natural capital accounts: 2023 water abstraction provisioning valuation, UK values have been apportioned using an imputed gross operating surplus for SIC 36 from the Annual Business Survey UK regional results. This was calculated by deducting total employment costs from gross value added for both UK and English estimates of the industry. Between 2008 and 2020, England makes up an average of 75% of the UK gross operating surplus.

Further work is required to value the services relating to other uses of the water provisioning services, and to explore the roles of different ecosystem types in providing clean water.

We are exploring alternative methods used to value water provisioning services, with the aim to look at the short-term cost and certainty, and long-term sustainability of the UK's water supply. Our aim is to capture the impact of the changing demand for water, and of climate change on the UK water supply by reporting on:

- current and projected demand and water abstraction levels
- weather forecasts and costs of ecologically excessive abstraction
- water movements by truck
- restrictions on supply

Because of population growth in England, and climate change, demand for <u>water is forecast to continue to increase (PDF, 622.88KB)</u>, according to the Environment Agency 2018. This report also states that current levels of water abstraction are already unsustainable in certain regions, creating pressure on our water resources. Climate change effects are predicted to lead to increasing winter rainfall and reducing summer rainfall resulting in floods in the winter and droughts in the summer.

#### **Minerals**

Physical estimates of mineral extraction are provided by the British Geological Survey (BGS) in the <u>United Kingdom Minerals Yearbook</u>.

Monetary estimates are based on the residual value resource rent approach calculated from the SIC subdivision class: Other mining and quarrying (SIC 08). This division includes extraction from a mine or quarry, but also dredging of alluvial deposits, rock crushing and the use of salt marshes. The products are used most notably in construction, such as stone and aggregates, and manufacture of materials, such as clay and gypsum, and manufacture of chemicals. This division excludes some aspects of the processing of the minerals extracted however crushing, grinding, cutting, cleaning, drying, sorting and mixing are not excluded. This may inflate the resource rents associated with the pure natural provisioning service.

For the <u>England natural capital accounts: 2023</u> mineral provisioning valuation, <u>UK</u> values have been apportioned using an imputed gross operating surplus for SIC 08 from the <u>Annual Business Survey UK regional results</u>. This was calculated by deducting total employment costs from gross value added for both UK and English estimates of the industry.

## Oil and gas production

Physical estimates of oil and gas production are available from the North Sea Transition Authority.

Monetary estimates of oil and gas are following a residual value resource rent approach calculated from the Income from and Expenditure on UK Continental Shelf Exploration, Development and Operating Activities (North Sea Transition Authority) and net capital stock and consumption of fixed capital data for SIC subdivision class: Extraction of crude petroleum and natural gas (SIC 06) from ONS capital stock data.

Oil and gas service estimates for <u>England natural capital accounts: 2023</u> are estimated upon the assumption that UK oil and gas provisioning outside of Scotland occurs entirely in England or English waters. Therefore, English values are equivalent to UK estimates minus Scottish estimates.

Scottish oil and gas provisioning is estimated using <u>Scottish Government oil and gas statistics</u>, comparable with the UK North Sea Transition Authority data. Consumption of fixed capital and cost of capital are estimated through an apportionment of ONS capital stocks data for SIC 06 using relative operating expenditure reported by the North Sea Transition Authority and Scottish Government, respectively.

For the asset valuation of fossil fuels, we use <u>annual projected UK oil and gas production figures</u> from the North Sea Transition Authority. For Scottish, and therefore English estimates, the UK projections were apportioned based upon the relative five-year average of oil and gas production, respectively, from 2017 to 2021.

To estimate valuations in future years annual five-year averages of "unit resource rent" (average resource rent divided by average production) are applied to production projections.

## **Coal production**

Coal production statistics are available from the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) <u>Digest of UK Energy Statistics (DUKES)</u>. For the valuation of coal, a residual value resource rent approach is used. This is based upon <u>supply and use</u> and capital stocks data for the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) division: Mining of coal and lignite (SIC 05). For the <u>England natural capital accounts: 2023</u> coal provisioning valuation, <u>UK</u> values have been apportioned using relative physical production estimates.

## Renewable generation

Energy generated by renewable sources is published by BEIS in the <u>Digest of UK Energy Statistics</u>. Bioenergy is excluded to avoid valuation double counting with timber removals and agricultural biomass.

Monetary estimates are based on the residual value resource rent approach calculated from the SIC Group 35.1: Electric power generation, transmission and distribution. These data are then apportioned using turnover from the ONS <u>Annual Business Survey (ABS)</u> to derive the resource rent of 35.11: Production of electricity. To estimate the renewable provisioning valuation, data were further apportioned using the English renewables proportion of UK total energy generation from <u>BEIS</u> data.

## **Carbon sequestration**

Estimates relate to the removal of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e) from the atmosphere by habitats in the UK. However, because of a lack of data we are unable to include the marine habitat, including those intertidal areas such as saltmarsh.

The carbon sequestration data come from the UK National Atmospheric Emission Inventory (NAEI) <u>Greenhouse</u> <u>Gas Inventory</u>. This contains data relating to carbon change in the Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sector.

A presentation of natural capital accounts based on the impacts from nature acting naturally would include sequestration from ancient woodland but might exclude that from plantation forests. Emissions from damaged green spaces would not be included, as this can be viewed as a form of human-driven pollution, but emissions from a volcano would.

Another view of natural capital would state that all natural habitats are somewhat modified. Usually human intervention is required to capture value and so the possibility of valuing many natural services (notably renewable energy) as if they were separate from human action is impossible.

We have opted for a combined nature and human approach, where greenhouse gas emissions from poorly managed peatland are included.

This is an area of research we will consider further as our accounts develop. The net carbon sequestration values presented align with the 2019 Greenhouse Gas Inventory for the Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry sector. We also aim to estimate the gross carbon sequestration benefits of nature but this is currently not possible with inventory data.

To estimate the annual value, we multiply the physical flow by the carbon price. The carbon price used in calculations is based on the <u>projected non-traded price of carbon</u> schedule. This is contained within Data table 3 of the <u>Green Book supplementary guidance</u>. Carbon prices are available from 2020 to 2050. Prices prior to 2020 and beyond 2050 are deflated or inflated respectively by 1.5% annually, following guidance from the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS).

## Air pollution removal by vegetation

Air quality regulation estimates have been supplied in consultation with the UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH). A very brief overview of the methodology will be explained here. A more detailed explanation can be found in the full <a href="mailto:methodology report published in July 2017">methodology report published in July 2017</a>.

Calculation of the physical flow account uses the European Monitoring and Evaluation Program Unified Model for the UK (EMEP4UK) atmospheric chemistry and transport model, which generates pollutant concentrations directly from emissions and dynamically calculates pollutant transport and deposition, considering meteorology and pollutant interactions.

Air pollution data removal by UK vegetation has been modelled for the years 2007, 2015, 2019. We have then scaled this based on previous modelling to create values for 2030. For years where government concentration data are available through the UK's <u>Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN)</u>, figures are fed into the model to generate estimates for changes in air pollutant concentrations because of vegetation. Linear interpolation then occurs for future years where no government concentration data are available.

The health benefits were calculated from the change in pollutant exposure from the EMEP4UK scenario comparisons, that is, the change in pollutant concentration to which people are exposed. Damage costs per unit exposure were then applied to the benefiting population at the local authority level for a range of avoided health outcomes:

- respiratory hospital admissions
- cardiovascular hospital admissions
- loss of life years (long-term exposure effects from PM2.5 and nitrogen dioxide (NO2))
- deaths (short-term exposure effects from ozone (O3))

The damage costs were updated in February 2019. For a method of how the damage costs are calculated please see the <u>Air Quality damage cost update 2019 report (PDF, 1.13MB)</u> published by Defra.

## Noise mitigation by vegetation

For a detailed methodology paper on how noise mitigation was produced - please see <u>Scoping UK Urban Natural</u> <u>Capital Account - Extending noise regulation estimates - NR0170</u> published by Defra.

## **Urban cooling**

For a detailed methodology paper on how urban cooling was produced - please see <u>Scoping UK Urban Natural</u> <u>Capital Accounts - Extension to develop temperature regulation estimates - NR0172 by Eftec and others (2018).</u>

#### Recreation and tourism

In our UK Natural Capital Accounts: 2022, health benefits from recreation in nature have been included for the first time. The impact and methodology of this new service are discussed within our <u>Health benefits from recreation</u>, natural capital, UK: 2022 <u>bulletin</u>. Previous years changes to the cultural service account structuring are discussed in our <u>UK natural capital accounts: Tourism and recreation methodology</u>.

#### Recreation

The recreation estimates are adapted from the "simple travel cost" method developed by Ricardo-AEA in the methodological report Reviewing cultural services valuation methodology for inclusion in aggregate UK natural capital estimate. This method was originally created for use on the Monitor of Engagement with the Natural Environment (MENE) Survey, which covers recreational visits by respondents in England.

The method looks at the expenditure incurred to travel to the natural environment and some expenditure incurred during the visit. This expenditure method considers the market goods consumed as part of making the recreational visit (that is, fuel, public transport costs, admission charges and parking fees). This expenditure is currently assumed as a proxy for the value of accessing the site.

Estimates for the cultural service of outdoor recreation in this publication use survey data across seven surveys covering England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. The questions used from these surveys can be broadly summarised as:

- How many visits to the outdoors for leisure and recreation have you made in the last four weeks?
- On the last visit to the outdoors, what type of habitat did you go to?
- What was the main means of transport used on this last visit?
- · How far did you travel to get to and from the main destination of this visit?
- How long was the visit, in terms of time (including travel time)?
- How much did you spend on [spending category]?

For estimates of outdoor recreation in England, the Monitor of Engagement with the Natural Environment (MENE) Survey is used. The survey collects detailed information on people's use and enjoyment of the natural environment during visits. This report relates to the full ten years of surveying from March 2009 to February 2019. MENE samples around 47,000 respondents, 20,000 of whom make visits annually.

In Scotland, data from two surveys are used to produce estimates of outdoor recreation. From 2003 to 2012, data from these surveys focus on short day trips from home and miss out potentially large amounts of spending on outdoor activity from domestic tourism. A combined recreation and tourism account has been created to capture this additional spending - see above.

Habitat disaggregated estimations may not sum to equal overall totals. This is because the habitat-visited question may be asked less frequently compared with other questions, resulting in smaller sample sizes. Estimations can differ depending on sample sizes.

For broad habitat classifications by country, please see the Habitats section of our <u>Health benefits from recreation</u> <u>methodology</u>.

For the asset valuation of outdoor recreation, projected population growth calculated from <u>ONS population</u> <u>statistics</u> and an income uplift assumption, were implemented into the estimation. The income uplift assumptions are 1%, declining to 0.75% after 30 years and 0.5% after a further 45 years. These assumptions project the annual value to increase over the 100 years.

It is acknowledged that the expenditure-based method provides an underestimation of the value provided by visits to the natural environment. Primarily, this is because there are several benefits that are not accounted for including scientific and educational interactions, health benefits and aesthetic interactions. Currently, there is no method in use that incorporates these considerations. Additionally, the time spent by people in the natural environment is not itself directly valued because of the accounting and methodological challenges involved.

A significant number of outdoor recreation visits have no expenditure as people take local visits, such as walking to a local park. The value of local recreation and the aesthetic benefit from living near green and blue spaces is estimated through house prices.

#### Health benefits from recreation

The UK Natural Capital Accounts: 2022 include a new recreation account for the first time. The recreation-based surveys discussed in this section of the report have been further used to generate the number of people gaining health benefits from regular recreation, and the monetary value associated with this. The monetary value of health benefits from recreation have been derived from the work of Claxon and others (2015). This cost saving approach concluded that £13,000 of NHS resources adds one Quality Adjusted Life Year (QALY) to the lives of NHS patients (2008 values).

The methodology underpinning the health benefits gained from recreation can be found under Exposure to nature in section 2 of our <u>Health benefits from recreation methodology report</u>. Since this report, further work has been undertaken to implement the "exposure to nature" approach. This includes integrating data from the People in the Outdoors Monitor for Northern Ireland survey to improve estimates for Northern Ireland.

## Recreation and aesthetic value in house prices

There is a detailed methodology note on how the recreation and aesthetic value in house prices was produced so please see this 2019 <u>House pricing methodology article</u>.

After 2016, aesthetic and recreational annual value in house prices is based on the average percentage increase in house prices from living within 500 metres of green or blue space from 2009 to 2016 multiplied by <a href="ONS imputed rental data">ONS imputed rental data</a>. Asset values are held as 2016 estimates.

English values for recreation and aesthetic value in house prices are estimated by apportioning UK values using the relative owner-occupiers imputed rental (SIC07 code: 68IMP) for England and the UK.

With Zoopla house price purchase data no longer available, we are exploring the use of Valuation Office Agency and <u>HM Land Registry</u> data to estimate the effect of proximity to public green space on house prices. This development also aims to provide country-specific models of the benefit of greenspace to house prices.

# 6. Cite this methodology

Office for National Statistics (ONS), released 25 January 2023, ONS website, methodology, <u>England natural capital accounts methodology</u>: 2023